

State of Wisconsin / OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE

Scott Walker, Governor Theodore K. Nickel, Commissioner Wisconsin.gov 125 South Webster Street ● P.O. Box 7873 Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7873 Phone: (608) 266-3585 ● Fax: (608) 266-9393 E-Mail: ociinformation@wisconsin.gov Web Address: oci.wi.gov

STATE OF WISCONSIN

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE

I, Theodore K. Nickel, Commissioner of Insurance and custodian of the official records, certify that the annexed emergency rule affecting s. Ins 6.07, Wis. Adm. Code, relating to readability and electronic access to insurance policies and affecting small business, is duly approved and adopted by this Office on February 3, 2011.

I further certify that I have compared this copy with the original on file in this Office and that it is a true copy of the original, and the whole of the original.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at 125 South Webster Street, Madison, Wisconsin, on February 3, 2011.

Theodore K. Nickel Commissioner of Insurance

PROPOSED ORDER OF THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE REPEALING, REPEALING AND RECREATING A RULE

To repeal Ins 6.07 (4) (a) 8., (4) (d), and (9);

To repeal and recreate Ins 6.07 (4) (a) 1.; 2., and 5., Wis. Adm. Code,

Relating to relating to readability and electronic access to insurance policies and affecting small business.

FINDING OF EMERGENCY

The Commissioner of Insurance finds that an emergency exists and that the attached rule is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, safety, or welfare. Facts constituting the emergency are as follows: the cost of implementing the Flesch scores and electronic access to policies significantly exceeded anticipated costs for the insurance industry; a review of state resources indicates insufficient staff to timely review the volume of health insurance policy filings resulting from the flesch score requirement; and it is anticipated the federal department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") will use National Association of Insurance Commissioners recommendations for the development of standards for a uniform summary of benefits and coverage explanation for all potential policyholders and enrollees. Repealing these provisions now before costly system overhauls will save both the industry and the state significant resources. Further, although it was anticipated that the National Association of Insurance Commissioners was planning to implement a national readability standard, such movement has stalled negating the amendment to prior Flesch readability scores.

The changes contained in this emergency rule will restore prior standards and ease financial constraints for the insurance industry.

ANALYSIS PREPARED BY THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE (OCI)

1. Statutes interpreted:

ss. 600.01, 628.34 (12), Stats.

2. Statutory authority:

ss. 600.01 (2), 601.41 (3), 601.42, 628.34 (12), 631.20, 631.21, 631.22, 631.61, Stats.

3. Explanation of OCI's authority to promulgate the proposed rule under these statutes:

Section 631.22 (2), Stats., requires insures to provide policies that are coherent, written in commonly understood language, legible, appropriately divided and captioned by its various sections and presented in a meaningful sequence. This proposed rule returns the administrative standards back to requirements previously used.

4. Related statutes or rules:

This proposed rule revises the current rules, s. Ins 6.07, Wis. Adm. Code, governing filing readability and access to electronic versions of policy forms.

5. The plain language analysis and summary of the proposed rule:

The proposed rule returns the readability score, Flesch or equivalent, back to 40 across product lines unless other provisions regulate the readability of the policy, i.e. Medicare supplement policy requirements. The proposed rule also repeals requirements related to use of active voice in policy forms, requirement to contain all exclusions and limitations within one section and replaces those provisions with language in place in 2010. Finally the proposed rule repeals the requirement that insurers make available an insured's complete insurance policy and production timeframes. Statutory requirements already exist that require insurers to provide the insured or policyholder a copy of their policy. Although s. Ins 6.07 (9) provided more detail and delineated process for obtaining copies of policies OCI believes the existing laws are sufficient without further rule.

6. Summary of and preliminary comparison with any existing or proposed federal regulation that is intended to address the activities to be regulated by the proposed rule:

There are no existing or proposed federal regulations that address the topic of this proposed rule.

7. Comparison of similar rules in adjacent states as found by OCI:

Illinois: Illinois requires products to be readable but does not set a score. Illinois is pending legislative changes to participate in the interstate insurance product regulation compact and for those product lines subject to the compact the Flesch

required is 50. Illinois does not have a website notice provision for insureds to access a complete copy of their policy.

lowa: lowa requires products to be readable but does not set a score. lowa participates in the interstate insurance product regulation compact and for those product lines subject to the compact the Flesch required is 50. lowa does not have a website notice provision for insureds to access a complete copy of their policy.

Michigan: Michigan requires forms to achieve a Flesch score of not less than 45 under Mich. Admin. Code s. 500.2236 r. 2003 (1956). Michigan participates in the interstate insurance product regulation compact and for those product lines subject to the compact the Flesch required is 50. Michigan does not have a website notice provision for insureds to access a complete copy of their policy.

Minnesota: Minnesota requires under Minn. Stat. Ch. 72C, a Flesch score of more than 40 for life and health forms. Minnesota participates in the interstate insurance product regulation compact and for those product lines subject to the compact the Flesch required is 50. Minnesota does not have a website notice provision for insureds to access a complete copy of their policy.

8. A summary of the factual data and analytical methodologies that OCI used in support of the proposed rule and how any related findings support the regulatory approach chosen for the proposed rule:

OCI proposes this rule will ease financial constraints not anticipated with prior rule-making. The OCI has received numerous inquiries regarding implementation and concerns related to cost of implementation. By returning to prior requirements the OCI is able to balance consumer protection and appropriate level of industry oversight without being overly burdensome.

9. Any analysis and supporting documentation that OCI used in support of OCI's determination of the rule's effect on small businesses under s. 227.114:

The effect of the proposed rule on small business is positive as it reverts back to prior requirements thereby negating the need to modify existing policies or means of accessing prior copies of an insured's policy.

10. See the attached Private Sector Fiscal Analysis.

These changes will not have a significant fiscal effect on the private sector as the proposed rule reverts back to prior requirements thereby imposing no costs on insurers or intermediaries that could be passed on to the private sector.

11. A description of the Effect on Small Business:

This rule will have little to no fiscal effect on regulated small businesses as the proposed rule imposes no new requirements and returns all Flesch scores and access requirements back to 2010 standards.

12. Agency contact person:

A copy of the full text of the proposed rule changes, analysis and fiscal estimate may be obtained from the Web site at: http://oci.wi.gov/ocirules.htm or by contacting Inger Williams, OCI Services Section, at:

Phone: (608) 264-8110

Email: inger.williams@wisconsin.gov

Address: 125 South Webster St – 2nd Floor, Madison WI 53703-3474

Mail: PO Box 7873, Madison, WI 53707-7873

13. Place where comments are to be submitted and deadline for submission:

The deadline for submitting comments is 4:00 p.m. on the 14th day after the date for the hearing stated in the Notice of Hearing.

Mailing address:

Julie E. Walsh Legal Unit - OCI Rule Comment for Rule Ins 607 Office of the Commissioner of Insurance PO Box 7873 Madison WI 53707-7873

Street address:

Julie E. Walsh
Legal Unit - OCI Rule Comment for Rule Ins 607
Office of the Commissioner of Insurance
125 South Webster St – 2nd Floor
Madison WI 53703-3474

Email address:

Julie E. Walsh julie.walsh@wisconsin.gov

Web site: http://oci.wi.gov/ocirules.htm

The proposed rule changes are:

SECTION 1. Ins 6.07 (4) (a) (intro.), 1. and 2. are repealed and recreated to read:

Ins 6.07 (4) (a) In addition to any other requirements of law, no consumer insurance policy, unless excepted under sub. (5), shall be delivered or issued for delivery in this state on or after the dates such forms must be approved under this section, unless:

- The text achieves a minimum score of 50 for those policies labeled as Medicare supplement policies as defined by s. Ins 3.39 and a minimum score of 40 for all other policies included under this rule, on the Flesch reading ease test as described in par.
 (b), or an equivalent score on any other comparable test as provided in par. (c) or this subsection unless a lower score is authorized under sub. (7);
- It is printed, except for specification pages, schedules and tables, in not less than 10 point type, one point leaded;

SECTION 2. Ins 6.07 (4) (a) 5. is repealed and recreated to read:

Ins 6.07 (4) (a) 5. It contains a single section listing exclusions, or the exclusions are given at least equal prominence;

SECTION 3. Ins 6.07 (4) (a) 8. is repealed.

SECTION 4. Ins 6.07 (4) (d) is repealed.

SECTION 5. Ins 6.07 (9) is repealed.

SECTION 6. These changes first apply to policies issued or renewed on or after the effective date of this rule.

SECTION 7. This rule may be enforced under ss. 601.41, 601.64, 601.65, 628.10, 631.20 (4m), Stats., or ch. 645, Stats., or any other enforcement provision of chs. 600 to 646, Stats.

SECTION 8. These emergency rule changes will take effect on February 9, 2011, as provided in s. 227.24(1)(c), Stats.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, this	day of	, 2011.			
	Theodore K. Nickel				

Page 5

Commissioner of Insurance

Office of the Commissioner of Insurance Private Sector Fiscal Analysis

for s. Ins 6.07 relating to readability and electronic access to insurance policies and affecting small business

This rule change will have no significant effect on the private sector regulated by OCI.

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	▼ ORIGINAL	UPDATED		ī	RB Number		Amendment No. if Applicable	
	CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL		E	Bill Number		Administrative Rule Number INS 607	
Subject readability and electronic access to insurance policies and affecting small business								
One	e-time Costs or Reve None	enue Impacts for State and/or Lo	cal Government	t (do	not include	e in annualiz	zed fiscal effect):	
	A	nnualized Costs:			Annualized	Fiscal impact	on State funds from:	
A.	State Costs by Cat State Operation	tegory ns - Salaries and Fringes		\$	Increased (Decreased Costs	
	(FTE Position (Changes)			(0 FTE)		(-0 FTE)	
	State Operation	ns - Other Costs			0		-0	
	Local Assistance	ce			0		-0	
	Aids to Individu	uals or Organizations			0		-0	
	TOTAL Sta	ate Costs by Category		\$	0	!	\$ - 0	
B.	State Costs by So	urce of Funds			Increased (Costs	Decreased Costs	
	GPR			\$	0	;	\$ -0	
	FED				0		-0	
	PRO/PRS				0		-0	
	SEG/SEG-S				0		-0	
C.	State Revenues	Complete this only when proposal will increase revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in lice			Increased	Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
	GPR Taxes	revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in lice	rise iee, etc.)	\$	0	;	\$ -0	
	GPR Earned				0		-0	
	FED				0		-0	
	PRO/PRS				0		-0	
	SEG/SEG-S				0		-0	
	TOTAL Sta	ate Revenues		\$	0 None	;	\$ -0 None	
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT								
NET	CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	<u>STATE</u>	No	one 0	\$	LOCAL None 0	
NET	CHANGE IN REVEN	UES \$		No	one 0	\$	None 0	
Prep	pared by: Julie E. Walsh		Telephone No. (608) 26	64-8	101		Agency Insurance	
Autl	horized Signature:		Telephone No.				Date (mm/dd/ccyy)	

FISCAL ESTIMATE

▼ ORIGINAL	UPDATED		LRB Number Ar		Amendment No. if Applicable	
CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL				Administrative Rule Number INS 607	
Subject readability and electron	nic access to ins	curance policies	and affe	cting small hus	nace	
readability and electron	iic access to ins	surance policies	and and	curiy siriali busi	11633	
Fiscal Effect						
State: X No State Fiscal Et				1		
Check columns below only if bill or affects a sum sufficient appropriate or affects.		oriation			- May be possible to Absorb Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No	
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation		ase Existing Revenues	3	Within Agency s	Budget Tes No	
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriat	tion Decre	ease Existing Revenue	es			
☐ Create New Appropriation				☐ Decrease Costs	5	
Local: No local governme	nt costs					
1. Increase Costs				I Governmental Units Affected:		
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandator 2. ☐ Decrease Costs	-	ermissive	-		☐ Villages ☐ Cities ☐ Others	
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandator	y Po	ermissive	missive Mandatory School District		tricts WTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S					iations	
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fi						
Long-Range Fiscal Implications						
Name						
None						
Prepared by:		Telephone No.			Agency	
Julie E. Walsh		(608) 264	-8101		Insurance	
Authorized Signature:		Telephone No.			Date (mm/dd/ccyy)	