| STATE OF WISCONSIN |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE |
| CLASS 1 CONTESTED CASE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING |
| ======================================= |
| IN THE MATTER OF THE RESTRUCTURING OF |
| EMPLOYERS INSURANCE OF WAUSAU, A MUTUAL COMPANY, BY EMPLOYERS INSURANCE OF WAUSAU, A MUTUAL COMPANY, |
| AND LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS |
| = = = = = |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Date: Tuesday, October 16, 2001 |
| Time: 1:00 o'clock p.m. |
| |
| |
| |
| Reported by LINDA KUHLMAN |
| |
| |
| |

| 1 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | the above-entitled matter at the Hilton Madison Monona |
| 3 | Terrace, 9 East Wilson Street, in the City of Madison, |
| 4 | County of Dane, and State of Wisconsin, on the 16th day of |
| 5 | October, 2001, commencing at 1:00 o'clock p.m. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | APPEARANCES |
| 8 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: MATTHEW C. MANDT, Director, Bureau of Financial Analysis and Examinations, |
| 9 | Office of the Commissioner of Insurance, 121 East Wilson, Madison, Wisconsin. |
| 11 | FRED NEPPLE and STEVEN J. JUNIOR, |
| 12 | State of Wisconsin, Office of the Commissioner of Insurance, 121 East Wilson, |
| 13 | Madison, Wisconsin, appearing on behalf of the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance; |
| 14 | NOREEN J. PARRETT, |
| 15 | LaFOLLETTE, GODFREY & KAHN, Attorneys at Law One East Main Street, Madison, Wisconsin, appearing on behalf of petitioner Employers |
| 16 | Insurance of Wausau; |
| 17 | EDWARD P. WELCH, SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP, |
| 18 | Attorneys at Law, One Rodney Square, Wilmington, Delaware, appearing on behalf of |
| 19 | petitioner Employers Insurance of Wausau; |
| 20 | ROBERT J. SULLIVAN, |
| 21 | SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP, Attorneys at Law, Four Times Square, |
| 22 | New York, New York, appearing on behalf of petitioner Employers Insurance of Wausau. |
| 23 | ALSO PRESENT: J. STANLEY HOFFERT |
| 24 | RICHARD QUINLAN J. PAUL CONDRIN, III |
| 25 | LAURANCE YAHIA JONATHAN PLUTZIK |

| 1 | APPEARANCES (Continued) |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | |
| 3 | ALSO PRESENT: GEORGE K. BERNSTEIN CLIFFORD W. SMITH, JR. DAVID B. MAY |
| 4 | JAMES J. MCINTYRE WALTER J. BLAEDEL |
| 5 | = = = = = = |
| 6 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Good |
| 7 | afternoon. I'm Matthew C. Mandt, Director of the |
| 8 | Bureau of Financial Analysis and Examinations, |
| 9 | presiding as hearing examiner over Case No. |
| 10 | 00-C26776, concerning the restructuring of |
| 11 | Employers Insurance of Wausau, a mutual company, |
| 12 | under a mutual holding company plan. Fred Nepple, |
| 13 | general counsel, and Steven Junior, a financial |
| 14 | examiner with the office are also present. |
| 15 | Today's hearing is being held at the |
| 16 | Founders Room in the Hilton Madison Monona Terrace, |
| 17 | Madison, Wisconsin at 1 p.m. on Tuesday, |
| 18 | October 16, 2001. This hearing is being held as a |
| 19 | class one contested case hearing in accordance with |
| 20 | Chapter 227 and Sections 644.07 and 601.62 of the |
| 21 | Wisconsin Statutes. The proceedings are being |
| 22 | transcribed by Professional Reporters, Ltd. |
| 23 | Wisconsin law allows a mutual insurance |
| 24 | company to convert to a stock insurance company by |
| 25 | placing the mutual policyholders' ownership rights |

in a mutual holding company, which then becomes the owner of the newly-converted stock insurance company. The restructuring must be approved by the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance and then by a majority of the mutual policyholders that choose to exercise their right to vote.

Employers Insurance of Wausau has filed a plan with the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance to undergo such a restructuring. A public hearing is scheduled immediately to follow this class one contested case administrative hearing to allow any organization or individual to express their views on this mutual holding company plan.

In addition to the public hearing, this class one contested case administrative hearing has been scheduled for Employers Insurance of Wausau, a mutual company, and Liberty Mutual Insurance

Company to make their case for Employers Insurance of Wausau's restructuring under a mutual holding company plan.

Will the petitioners please state for the record by whom they're represented.

MS. PARRETT: Noreen Parrett,
LaFollette, Godfrey & Kahn, for petitioners

| 1 | Employers insurance of wausau, a mucual company, |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | and Liberty Mutual Insurance Company. |
| 3 | Also appearing is Robert Sullivan and |
| 4 | Edward Welch of Skadden, Aprs, Slate, Meagher and |
| 5 | Flom; Stanley Hoffert, vice president, general |
| 6 | counsel and secretary of EIOW, Employers Insurance |
| 7 | of Wausau, a mutual company; and Richard Quinlan, |
| 8 | vice president of Liberty Mutual Insurance Company. |
| 9 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do the |
| 10 | attorneys have any preliminary matters or questions |
| 11 | that need to be addressed at this time? |
| 12 | MR. WELCH: Mr. Mandt, I just |
| 13 | thought it might be appropriate at this point to |
| 14 | confirm the hearing exhibits, which perhaps we'll |
| 15 | speak to in a moment, as well as the prefiled |
| 16 | testimony, as well as the transcript for this |
| 17 | hearing would be included into the record of the |
| 18 | public hearing, and I note for the record that |
| 19 | paragraph seven of the conference order entered by |
| 20 | the OCI earlier specifically provides for that. |
| 21 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, I agree |
| 22 | with that. |
| 23 | MR. WELCH: Okay. |
| 24 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And is |
| 25 | there a brief opening statement that the attorneys |

| 2 | MR. WELCH: There is, Mr. Mandt. |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | I'm to provide one. I'm wondering if it would be |
| 4 | appropriate at this point to move into the record |
| 5 | the hearing exhibits which have been prefiled with |
| 6 | the OCI, as well as the prefiled testimony, if |
| 7 | that's acceptable to you. |
| 8 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's fine, |
| 9 | and I note for the record that the list of exhibits |
| 10 | prepared by the applicants are admitted into the |
| 11 | record. |
| 12 | MR. WELCH: Two other quick comments |
| 13 | on that, Mr. Mandt. Number one, we did have one |
| 14 | additional exhibit in the form of a letter from |
| 15 | Noreen Parrett to Steve Junior which included the |
| 16 | Gary Butler, Alan Rudnick and William Van Fossen |
| 17 | affidavits. |
| 18 | Having spoken just briefly with Mr. Junior |
| 19 | prior to the hearing, his suggestion was that be |
| 20 | included as Exhibit 35 since the entry that was |
| 21 | made for Exhibit 35 in the prefile list is not |
| 22 | included. |
| 23 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is that in |
| 24 | this list or not? |
| 25 | MR. WELCH: That was the bylaws that |

1 would like to give?

were sent over yesterday, that that be included as
Exhibit 35 in the prefiled list which is now blank.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. I agree with that.

MR. WELCH: Thank you, sir. At this point I'd like to make a brief opening. As Noreen Parrett said I'm Ed Welch. I'm accompanied here today by Robert Sullivan. We're both members of the law firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher and Flom. Together with Noreen Parrett of the LaFollette, Godfrey & Kahn firm, we're representing Employers Insurance of Wausau, a mutual company, which we'll call throughout the proceedings as EIOW at today's hearing.

Today's hearing involves the proposed restructuring of EIOW. Pursuant to EIOW's mutual holding company plan, EIOW will form as its parent the Employers Insurance of Wausau Mutual Holding Company, which we'll call throughout the proceedings as EIOW MHC for short. EIOW will also convert from a Wisconsin mutual insurance company to a Wisconsin stock insurance company.

Before I continue I'd like to mention that some of these terms we'll be using throughout the proceeding today are the same ones set forth in the

policyholder information statement which appears as

Exhibit 23 in the hearing record that was mailed to

EIOW policyholders earlier this year.

EIOW's restructuring is part of the series of transactions resulting in EIOW and its

Massachusetts affiliates, specifically Liberty

Mutual Insurance Company, LMIC from time to time in the record, and Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance

Company, LMFIC, all reorganizing to stock insurance companies under the common ownership of a single mutual holding company. The mutual holding company will be called LMHC.

Before discussing what EIOW's restructuring is about, I'll provide a little bit of background on the record on EIOW and the company's relationship to LMIC as well as LMFIC.

EIOW is a Wisconsin mutual property and casualty insurance company. It was founded in 1911. The company conducts a property and casualty business. EIOW had approximately 16,600 policyholders as of September 14, 2000, the date that EIOW's board of directors originally adopted EIOW's mutual holding company plan.

Now, the relationship among EIOW, LMIC and LMFIC. Those companies are each mutual insurance

companies, meaning that they are each separately owned by their respective policyholders. EIOW and LMIC each have subsidiaries, while LMFIC doesn't.

While EIOW, LMIC and LMFIC are distinct legal entities, I'm sure it's clear to the OCI now that they're also affiliates. They participate in an intercompany reinsurance pool called a Liberty Pool. The Liberty companies are also affiliated with each other by virtue of their shared management, employees and operating systems as well as other staff services. Finally, LMIC provides management and operating services to EIOW and LMFIC through various management holdings.

Now, EIOW's restructuring will be undertaken in connection with EIOW's mutual holding company plan, which is the legally operative document. EIOW's mutual holding company plan is included in this record as Exhibit 23.4 in the hearing record, and there will basically four steps to what we're talking about here today.

First, EIOW will form EIOW MHC, a mutual holding company. Secondly, EIOW will convert to Employers Insurance Company of Wausau, a Wisconsin stock property and casualty insurance company, which again we'll refer to as Converted EIOW.

Thirdly, EIOW MHC will be issued 100

percent of the initial voting stock of Converted

EIOW, and all equity rights of EIOW members in EIOW

will be extinguished and replaced with equity

rights in EIOW MHC. Members' contract rights in

EIOW will continue uninterrupted in that company.

What about the member requirements? Well, since EIOW is a Wisconsin mutual insurance company, its restructuring is subject to the provisions of the Wisconsin Statutes regarding mutual holding companies. The mutual holding company provisions under which EIOW will restructure are set forth in Chapter 644 of the Wisconsin Statutes.

Consummation of that restructuring will require EIOW to obtain three approvals for its mutual holding company plan. First by its board, the second by the Wisconsin Commissioner and third by EIOW members.

What about the first? Well, Section 644.07(3) governs that approval. According to that section, EIOW's mutual holding company plan has to be approved by the board. That's been satisfied. EIOW's board of directors unanimously adopted a mutual holding company plan on September 14 of 2000. In addition to that, EIOW's mutual holding

company plan was subsequently amended with the unanimous approval of the board on August 21st of 2001 in a certified copy of the board's resolution, which is included as Exhibit 52 in the hearing record.

What about approval by the commissioner?
Well, Section 644.07(7) is the applicable section.
Pursuant to that section, the Wisconsin
Commissioner shall, after convening a hearing,
which is why we appear here, approve EIOW's mutual
holding company plan under that section unless she
determines that first EIOW's mutual holding company
plan violates the law. It clearly does not.

Number two, EIOW's mutual holding company plan is
not fair and equitable to the policyholders of
EIOW. Not a problem with respect to that.

Thirdly, EIOW's mutual holding company plan is
contrary to the interests of the policyholders of
EIOW or the public, and again, the record will show
that's not an issue.

Now, the Wisconsin Commissioner shall also consider, legal analysis, whether EIOW's mutual holding company plan would be detrimental to the safety and soundness of EIOW or to the contractual rights and reasonable expectations of those persons

who are policyholders on the effective date of restructuring. And of course, EIOW must have complied with the applicable filing requirements to which it clearly has.

Thirdly, what about approval by the members? Well, approval of the EIOW mutual holding company plan by the members will require EIOW to comply with both the Wisconsin Statutes and applicable bylaws.

First, the Statutes. Section 644.07(8) governs that. It's the applicable section. That means approval by the members. EIOW's mutual holding company plan must be approved by a vote of at least a majority of persons who are the policyholders on the resolution date, that's September 14 of 2000, and who remain policyholders on the record date, and that's October 31st of 2001, and who vote at the special meeting in person or by proxy for EIOW's mutual holding company plan. So that's the statutory requirement.

Secondly, the bylaws. Well, in accordance with EIOW's bylaws, at least a majority of the policyholders who are members on the record date and who vote at the special meeting must vote for EIOW's mutual holding company plan.

What about witnesses and other evidence?
Well, EIOW company witnesses and expert witnesses
will testify here today about how the regulatory
standards that the Wisconsin Commissioner must find
have been met before approving the plan have indeed
been satisfied.

In addition to that, as the OCI is no doubt aware there has been extensive prefiling by testimony of each of our witnesses, as well as a filing of a prehearing memorandum which appears in Exhibit 36 and sets forth in detail how EIOW met all of the statutory requirements and all of the standards for approval by the Wisconsin Commissioner of its mutual holding company plan.

Before I conclude, let me just take a minute on the global transaction. The MHC merger involving EIOW and the reorganization of Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance Company are not the subject of today's hearing but nevertheless they're related.

First, the MHC merger. Pursuant to the MHC merger agreement, Liberty Mutual Holding Company and EIOW MHC, which is the mutual holding company of EIOW, merge with Liberty Mutual Holding Company as the surviving mutual entity. Simultaneous with

this mutual holding company, the Converted EIOW will merge with Wausau Insurance Acquisition
Corporation, a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of
Liberty Mutual Holding Company. Converted EIOW
will be the surviving entity of that new merger.

As a direct result of the MHC merger,

Converted EIOW will become a subsidiary of Liberty

Mutual Holding Company. Converted EIOW Members'

Equity Rights in EIOW MHC will be extinguished and

replaced with equity rights in Liberty Mutual

Holding Company.

Now, with respect to the reorganization and combination agreement, LMFIC will also convert from a mutual stock insurance -- pardon me, will convert to a mutual stock insurance company and immediately thereafter merge with Liberty Insurance Acquisition Corporation, a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Liberty Mutual Holding Company. Reorganized LMFIC will be the surviving entity of that merger.

As a direct result of the reorganization and combination agreement, reorganized LMFIC will become a subsidiary of Liberty Mutual Holding Company. The reorganized LMFIC members' equity rights in LMFIC will be extinguished and replaced with equity rights in Liberty Mutual Holding

| 1 | Company. |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Now, as I said a few moments ago, extensive |
| 3 | prefiled testimony and extensive prehearing |
| 4 | exhibits were filed with OCI. Our suggestion here |
| 5 | today would be that EIOW provide a summary of |
| 6 | certain of that testimony by certain of its |
| 7 | witnesses. All of the witnesses are here to affirm |
| 8 | the truthfulness and accuracy of their testimony. |
| 9 | So if there are any questions, every witness is |
| 10 | here available to answer those questions. |
| 11 | Now, that concludes my opening statement, |
| 12 | Mr. Mandt, and unless you have anything further my |
| 13 | suggestion would be that we call to the stand on |
| 14 | the left James McIntyre, president and chief |
| 15 | operating officer of Employers Insurance of Wausau, |
| 16 | a mutual company. |
| 17 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's fine. |
| 18 | The court reporter will swear in the witness. |
| 19 | JAMES MCINTYRE, |
| 20 | called as a witness, being first duly |
| 21 | sworn in the above cause, testified |
| 22 | under oath as follows: |
| 23 | EXAMINATION |
| 24 | BY_MRWELCH: |
| 25 | Q Mr. McIntyre, have you prefiled certain testimony with |

- 1 the OCI?
- 2 A Yes, I have.
- 3 Q And is that prefiled testimony true and correct to the
- 4 best of your knowledge, information and belief?
- 5 A Yes, it is.
- 6 Q And are you able today to summarize that testimony for
- 7 Mr. Mandt?
- 8 A Yes, I can.
- 9 Q Why don't you go forward and do that.
- 10 A Good afternoon. On behalf of the board of directors and
- 11 the management of the Employers Insurance of Wausau, a
- 12 mutual company, I thank you for the opportunity to
- 13 participate in this hearing today. We're very pleased
- 14 to be part of this process, and we appreciate the chance
- 15 to discuss the rationale behind our proposed
- 16 restructuring.
- 17 Let me first thank the staff of the Office of the
- 18 Wisconsin Commissioner of Insurance for its hard work
- 19 throughout this lengthy process, as well as the
- 20 commissioner and any members of the public gathered here
- 21 today. I know I speak for the entire board and
- 22 management of Wausau when I say we are convinced that
- 23 the restructuring of Wausau is beneficial to our
- company, our policyholders and our community. We
- appreciate this opportunity to explain why.

I'd like to take the next few minutes to discuss the changes in our industry, the challenges in our structure and the best choice for our future. As most of you know, the insurance industry is in a time of tremendous change.

First, there is the emergence of large consolidated entities that have significant national and international presence. In 1999, the industry made more than 275 deals totaling in excess of \$28 billion, and that was a slow year. The examples are abundant -- Aetna P&C acquired by Travelers, USF&G acquired by St. Paul and American General acquired by AIG.

There are several reasons for this trend toward size. The obvious ones are building scale and gaining market share in mature markets. Less obvious and perhaps counterintuitive is that more information has led to less choice.

Buyers of the insurance products, like many other consumers, are overloaded with information on products, prices, features and competitors. Yet they have limited psychic shelf space and a scarcity of time and attention. As a result, they're buying from fewer and fewer companies, and we expect this trend to continue.

Larger companies have some distinct operating advantages. They can spread fixed costs over a larger

enterprise. They better absorb the investment in
information technology enhancements and expanded
distribution channels, and they provide policyholders
with increased security resulting from association with
a larger insurer. Unfortunately, the horrible events of
September 11, which are estimated to cost the insurance
industry some \$40 billion, underscore the need for
larger, stronger insurers.

Along with the rampant consolidation, the industry is experiencing a new level of competition. First, foreign insurance companies are acquiring U.S. insurers at a rapid pace. Allianz purchased Fireman's Fund, Zurich bought Farmers, Aegon bought Transamerica, to mention but a few.

Secondly, federal legislation, the GrammLeach-Bliley bill, has eliminated barriers among
insurance, banking and financial services companies and
has spawned the potential for more powerful competition.
And finally, the need for investment in the Internet and
other technologies which lower the cost of delivery and
service, increase the need to spread those investments
over larger books of business.

So as we consider the options for Wausau within the Liberty Mutual Group, we do so in the context a rapidly changing industry, an increase in larger, more

consolidated companies, a new level of competition and a new capacity to reach and serve customers whenever and wherever and however they like.

In this context growth is imperative. In fact, economically, the alternative to growth is not statis, it is decline. The companies that continue to thrive will be those that have the capital, the flexibility, the financial strength and the global reach to be effective -- to compete effectively.

So as the industry changes, so too must Wausau. Yet the confines of our current organizational structure keep us from making the very changes we most need to make.

So what are these changes. First, we must change our capacity to grow. If we are to provide our policyholders with secure protection and high quality service at a competitive price, we must be able to share in the growth and capital accumulation of the Liberty Mutual Group as a whole to spread our risks and costs and to attract and pay for the talent we need to succeed.

And all growth takes capital. At present, Wausau can realistically increase its resources only by retained earnings derived from operating results and investment gains. As a mutual insurer, its ability to

25

1 participate in any future capital raising strategies of the Liberty Mutual Group and benefit from the Group's continued growth and capital accumulation will be 3 limited. However, short of merging and the resulting 5 loss of licenses and brand names, the equity in companies, we can only do so through the unwieldy 6 7 governance structure we now have. Flexibility of capital is essential for both growth 8 9 and stability, and in an era of unforeseen losses in the 10 property and casualty sector, capital flexibility becomes increasingly important. Because of our mutual 11 12 structure, Wausau's ability to access external sources 13 of capital is limited. As a result, there are limits in 14 our ability to share in the resources of the Liberty Mutual Group as a whole in an optimal manner based on 15 16 our business needs. 17 Secondly, we must change the inefficiency inherent 18 in our corporate structure. Today, Wausau is one of 19 three separately run companies in the Liberty Mutual 20 Group, with three separate boards and three separate 21 annual meetings, Wausau, Liberty Mutual Insurance and 22 Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance. 23 This structure breeds redundancies and 24 inefficiencies in governance and administration. Ιt

also stands in the way of our realizing our full

economic value and participating in the collective strength of the Liberty Mutual Group. As a mutual insurance company we are unable to streamline and simplify our corporate relationships with our affiliates.

Nonetheless, we are a mutual company and we want to remain a mutual. It is Wausau's tradition and the tradition of the other mutual insurers in the Liberty Mutual Group. It is how we do business, the essence of who we are.

As a mutual company we are free to operate from a somewhat longer-term perspective. We preserve our ability to hold the interests of our policyholder-owners in the highest regard. And as a result, we all help prevent accidents and injuries and minimize losses.

Mutuality gives us all a vested interest in proactively helping people live safer, more secure lives.

In so many ways, mutuality makes sense. Yet within this new competitive landscape, we must be flexible, efficient and capable of growth. The restructuring will enable Wausau to realize these objectives.

The mutual holding company structure provides
Wausau with a future. It is our chance to not be
squeezed out by competition. It is our chance to
operate efficiently by realizing the value of our

affiliation with the other converting mutual insurers in the Liberty Mutual Group. It is our chance to have flexibility should we ever need to access additional capital, and it is our chance to have our policyholders retain ownership of a company capable of growing. It's clearly our chance to not be immediately acquired or merged, as would likely happen if the Liberty Mutual Group were to engage in a demutualization.

By becoming a mutual holding company we build on the best of our mutual tradition and can pursue the most of our potential. We do so on behalf of the policyholders we serve and the communities we so proudly support.

I do thank you for your time and thoughtful consideration.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I have one question. You talked about redundancies and inefficiencies, a current system perpetuates that.

What are the savings that's anticipated? Can you be more specific?

THE WITNESS: In each of the three companies today the redundancies in operating each of the independent companies does have inherent Inefficiencies and redundancies in their current structure.

| 1 | By moving to the mutual holding company |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | structure we eliminate many of those redundancies |
| 3 | that we operate within the independent |
| 4 | organizations today, such as the board of directors |
| 5 | meetings will continue, we'll continue in terms of |
| 6 | our financial operating issues, but there are many |
| 7 | other redundancies in terms of the organizational |
| 8 | structure that we will not have to go through. |
| 9 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: What is that |
| 10 | in terms of dollar savings? Do you have any |
| 11 | numbers? |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: No, I don't have any |
| 13 | financial numbers. |
| 14 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: I guess my |
| 15 | other thought would just be does that include |
| 16 | reductions in staff, is that what you're talking |
| 17 | about? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Actually |
| 19 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: You talk |
| 20 | about growth also |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: This is to |
| 22 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you |
| 23 | anticipate any reductions in staff through the |
| 24 | restructuring of the organizations? |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: We've actually got |

1 individuals supporting the Employers Insurance of Wausau as we move through this. We don't plan on any reductions. 3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. MR. WELCH: Mr. Mandt, with your permission our next witness will be Jonathan Plutzik, vice chairman of Credit Suisse First 8 Boston. 10 JONATHAN PLUTZIK, 11 called as a witness, being first duly 12 sworn in the above cause, testified 13 under oath as follows: 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY_MR._WELCH: 16 Q Mr. Plutzik, you have previously filed testimony with 17 the OCI prior to today, isn't that correct? A Yes, it is. 18 Q And to the best of your knowledge, information and 19 20 belief is that testimony truthful and correct? A Yes, it is. 21 22 Q And do you have a statement that you're prepared to

deliver to Mr. Mandt today?

23

24

25

A I do.

Q Proceed with that.

A Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Jonathan Plutzik
and I'm vice chairman of Credit Suisse First Boston.

CSFB has acted as financial advisor to EIOW in
connection with its restructuring and issued a fairness

6 thereof.

CSFB also expects to act as financial advisor to EIOW MHC and to Liberty Mutual Holding Company in connection with the MHC merger and has acted as financial advisor to LMIC and LMFIC with regard to their respective reorganizations.

opinion to the board of directors of EIOW with respect

CSFB issued a fairness opinion to each of LMIC and LMFIC pertaining to their respective reorganizations, and I provided testimony at last week's public hearing in Massachusetts in connection therewith.

CSFB was asked to advise the board of directors of EIOW with respect to the fairness to EIOW policyholders who are members, taken as a group, from a financial point of view, of the extinguishment of their equity rights in EIOW and the replacement of such equity rights with equity rights in EIOW MHC and the continuance of their contract rights in Converted EIOW, pursuant to EIOW's draft mutual holding company plan dated from September 14, 2000 as amended on August 21st, 2001, and pursuant to the MHC merger agreement and the

consummation of the MHC merger agreement, assuming that such agreement was consummated as of the date of CSFB's opinion.

CSFB's fairness opinion, like all other fairness opinions of this type, did not consider the fairness of the restructuring or the MHC merger agreement to any individual policyholder or class of policyholders, but rather addressed the fairness of the relevant transaction from a financial point of view to policyholders who are members, taken as a group.

In addition, CSFB's fairness opinion did not address the decision of the board of directors of EIOW to proceed with the restructuring or with either or both the MHC merger agreement and the reorganization and combination agreement or with any other potential transactions in which EIOW might engage in lieu of, or in addition to, the restructuring or the transactions which comprise the global transaction.

CSFB conducted its analysis from a number of perspectives. We assessed the EIOW restructuring and the MHC merger agreement using five basic factors -- form, structure, flexibility, financial strength and policyholder interest. All of these factors are addressed in my prefiled testimony. In addition, the due diligence that CSFB conducted and the assumptions

that CSFB relied upon in connection with the rendering of its fairness opinion is also set forth in my prefiled testimony.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Based upon our review of the various documents and subject to certain assumptions and also certain information provided to CSFB by EIOW, it is CFSB's opinion that, as of the date our opinion to the EIOW board was issued, which was August 21st, 2001, the extinguishment of members' equity rights in EIOW and the replacement of such equity rights with equity rights in EIOW MHC and the continuance of the members' contract rights in Converted EIOW pursuant to EIOW's mutual holding company plan and the MHC merger agreement, is fair to the policyholders who are members of EIOW, taken as a group, from a financial point of view, and assuming that the MHC merger agreement was consummated as of August 21st, 2001 in accordance with its terms, the MHC merger agreement is fair to policyholders or members of EIOW MHC, taken as a group, from a financial point of view. Thank you.

MR. WELCH: All right. Thank

you very much, Mr. Plutzik. Again, Mr. Mandt, with

your permission, our third and final witness today

would be James Stanley Hoffert, vice president,

general counsel and secretary of Employers

| 1 | | Insurance of Wausau. |
|----|---|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | JAMES STANLEY HOFFERT, |
| 3 | | called as a witness, being first duly |
| 4 | | sworn in the above cause, testified |
| 5 | | under oath as follows: |
| 6 | | EXAMINATION |
| 7 | | BY_MRWELCH: |
| 8 | Q | Mr. Hoffert, like the prior witnesses you have also |
| 9 | | prefiled certain testimony with the OCI, is that |
| 10 | | correct? |
| 11 | A | That is correct. |
| 12 | Q | In your view is that testimony truthful and correct to |
| 13 | | the best of your knowledge, information and belief? |
| 14 | A | It is. |
| 15 | Q | Do you have a statement which you're prepared to use to |
| 16 | | summarize that testimony for Mr. Mandt here today? |
| 17 | A | I do. Good afternoon, Mr. Mandt. My name is James |
| 18 | | Stanley Hoffert. I'm the vice president, general |
| 19 | | counsel and secretary of Employers Insurance of Wausau. |
| 20 | | I joined Wausau in 1978 as inhouse counsel and have held |
| 21 | | various legal and governmental affairs positions with |
| 22 | | the company during the past 23 years. |
| 23 | | First, on behalf of myself, Wausau and Liberty |
| 24 | | Mutual Group, I want to express my appreciation for the |
| 25 | | diligent, thoughtful and thoroughly professional manner |

in which the OCI has considered our filing for a mutual holding company restructuring under Chapter 644 of the Wisconsin Statutes. I will keep my remarks brief this afternoon and summary in nature in covering my written testimony and the other evidence which have been entered into the record in this hearing.

The EIOW mutual holding company plan was initially approved and adopted by its board of directors in September of last year. The board in August of this year also approved and adopted an amended version of such plan, including amended versions of a number of the plan's related documents.

The plan and other documents after the board actions both in September and -- September, 2000 and August of 2001 were timely filed with the OCI. These other documents included the policyholder information statement, the articles and bylaws of both EIOW MHC and Converted EIOW, lists of proposed directors and other documents relevant to the restructuring and global transaction.

In addition to our filings with OCI we also filed, as required under Chapter 644, the mutual holding company plan with the insurance regulatory authorities in all jurisdictions in which EIOW is authorized to conduct business.

I now would like to briefly review our plan relative to the statutory standards under Chapter 644.

As set forth in our prehearing brief filed with the OCI, the plan meets the applicable standards which the commissioner, under Chapter 644, is to apply to a Chapter 644 filing to determine whether approval of such a plan is warranted.

The plan is fair and equitable to our policyholders from both a legal and financial standpoint. This was testified to in the prefiled testimony of Mr. Condrin, Mr. Yahia, Mr. Bernstein and Professor Clifford Smith. In addition and also as testified to today, the firm of CSFB has given its opinion to the EIOW board, both in September of last year and in August of this year, that from a financial standpoint the plan is fair and equitable to the company's policyholders.

To briefly elaborate, EIOW's policyholders' contract rights will remain unchanged by the reorganization. Their equity rights in EIOW will be exchanged for equity rights, first in the EIOW MHC and then after the global transaction's contemplated merger in the LMHC, a larger, more diversified corporate entity than Employers Insurance of Wausau Mutual Holding Company.

As I will briefly cover in a minute, none of these

proposed transactions will result in any of our policyholders realizing any financial gain or loss for tax purposes. In summary, our plan is not contrary to the interests of our policyholders or to the general public. Stated in the affirmative, the plan benefits our policyholders by making them an integral part, both as policyholders and as members, of the larger, stronger, more flexible and diversified LMHC. And having such organizations in the insurance marketplace, we believe, is clearly in the public interest.

Currently EIOW's capital and the contemplated paid-in capital of Converted EIOW far exceed the minimum regulatory levels. As set out in Mr. Condrin's and Professor Smith's testimony, the restructuring will give Wausau access to greater capital if and when such a need arises. This clearly increases EIOW's strategic and structural flexibility, which is necessary for long-term growth.

Focusing for a moment on our policyholders and the procedural process in which he have been engaged in filing for this reorganization, the mutual holding company plan, the policyholder information statement, and policyholder guide, notice of the November 20, 2001 special meeting of the policyholders and of today's hearings and other explanatory materials were mailed, as

required by Chapter 644, to EIOW's policyholders on
August 31 of this year.

In addition, policy stuffers giving notice of the restructuring have been mailed to each person or company who became an EIOW policyholder after May 31. May 31 is the cutoff date or was the cutoff date for compiling the list of our current policyholders to make the August 31 mailing. We also have in place a toll-free call center to answer policyholders' questions about the restructuring. This was activated on August 31.

I think it's important to note and point out that our dividend policies will remain unchanged after restructuring. The policyholder dividends need to be contrasted with equity dividends. Policyholder dividend payments arise out of policy contract rights. Equity dividends arise out of a policyholder's rights as a member of a mutual company.

EIOW has paid policyholder dividends during all of its 90-year history. EIOW has never paid an equity dividend to a policyholder. EIOW MHC, because it is not an insurance company, will not pay policyholder dividends. However, Converted EIOW will continue its current policies in the payment of policyholder dividends after the restructuring.

One final matter that I've got to cover before I

close. EIOW has received a no-action letter from the SEC. This, in essence, means that the equity rights that our policyholders will have in EIOW Mutual Holding Company after the restructuring will not be deemed securities. This determination was a condition of the board to proceeding with the company's restructuring as a mutual holding company organization.

Likewise, a private letter ruling was received from the IRS, and the board of directors will receive an opinion from outside counsel, which will result in the board being assured that the exchange of the equity rights in EIOW for those in EIOW Mutual Holding Company will not be recognized under the Internal Revenue Code as either a gain or loss and therefore will not be taxable.

Mr. Mandt, this concludes the summary of my submitted written testimony. I would also like to end as I began by thanking the staff for their dedication and direction to us so we may achieve this vital restructuring of our company.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hoffert. The record of hearing will be held open to permit consideration of the testimony received, both oral and written, during the public hearing and written comments concerning

| 1 | the mutual holding company plan that are received |
|----|----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | by the Office of Commissioner of Insurance |
| 3 | prior to the close of business on Friday, |
| 4 | October 22nd, 2001. This class one contested case |
| 5 | hearing is hereby closed. |
| 6 | MR. WELCH: Mr. Mandt, pardon my |
| 7 | interruption. I apologize. I'm wondering if for |
| 8 | sake of the record if it isn't appropriate to call |
| 9 | up and just have each of the other witnesses who |
| 10 | prefiled their testimony affirm that in fact |
| 11 | they're accurate? It should only take a couple of |
| 12 | minutes. |
| 13 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's fine. |
| 14 | MR. WELCH: I apologize for |
| 15 | interrupting, and we'll make this very brief. We |
| 16 | call Mr. Paul Condrin, III, director of Employers |
| 17 | Insurance of Wausau, a mutual company, and senior |
| 18 | vice president and chief financial officer of |
| 19 | Liberty Mutual Group. |
| 20 | J. PAUL CONDRIN, III, |
| 21 | called as a witness, being first duly |
| 22 | sworn in the above cause, testified |
| 23 | under oath as follows: |
| 24 | |

| 1 | | EXAMINATION |
|----|---|---------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | BY_MRWELCH: |
| 3 | Q | Mr. Condrin, you've prefiled testimony with the OCI? |
| 4 | А | Yes. |
| 5 | Q | Is that testimony true and the correct to the best of |
| 6 | | your knowledge, information and belief? |
| 7 | А | It is, yes. |
| 8 | | MR. WELCH: Mr. Mandt, I promised |
| 9 | | you it would be short, and I have no further |
| 10 | | questions of this witness. |
| 11 | | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead. |
| 12 | | MR. WELCH: EIOW calls Laurence |
| 13 | | Yahia, vice president and assistant secretary of |
| 14 | | Employers Insurance of Wausau, and vice president |
| 15 | | and director of corporate finance of the Liberty |
| 16 | | Mutual Group. |
| 17 | | LAURANCE YAHIA, |
| 18 | | called as a witness, being first duly |
| 19 | | sworn in the above cause, testified |
| 20 | | under oath as follows: |
| 21 | | EXAMINATION |
| | | |
| 22 | | BY_MRWELCH: |
| 23 | Q | Mr. Yahia, you also prefiled certain testimony with the |
| 24 | | OCI |

25

A Yes.

- 1 Q -- Office of the Commissioner of Insurance, that is
- 2 correct, isn't it?
- 3 A Yes, I have.
- 4 Q And is that testimony true and correct to the best of
- 5 your knowledge, information and belief, sir?
- 6 A Yes, it is.
- 7 MR. WELCH: Thank you, very much.
- 8 Mr. Mandt, EIOW calls George K. Bernstein of the
- 9 Bernstein Law Firm, PLLC.
- 10 GEORGE K. BERNSTEIN,
- 11 called as a witness, being first duly
- sworn in the above cause, testified
- under oath as follows:
- 14 EXAMINATION
- BY_MR._WELCH:
- 16 Q Mr. Bernstein, have you prefiled certain testimony with
- 17 the OCI?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And is that testimony true and correct to the best of
- 20 your knowledge, information and belief?
- 21 A Yes.
- MR. WELCH: Mr. Mandt, I have no
- further questions of this witness.
- 24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
- 25 MR. WELCH: EIOW next calls

| 1 | | Professor Clifford Smith, Jr., Louise and Henry |
|----|----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | Epstein Professor of Business Administration and |
| 3 | | Professor of Finance at the William E. Simon |
| 4 | | Graduate School of Business Administration, |
| 5 | | University of Rochester. |
| 6 | | CLIFFORD W. SMITH, JR., |
| 7 | | called as a witness, being first duly |
| 8 | | sworn in the above cause, testified |
| 9 | | under oath as follows: |
| 10 | | EXAMINATION |
| 11 | | BY_MRWELCH: |
| 12 | 0 | Same litany of complex questions, Professor. You have |
| 13 | Q | also prefiled certain testimony with OCI, isn't that |
| 14 | | correct? |
| | 7\ | |
| 15 | | Yes. |
| 16 | Q | Is that testimony true and correct to the best of your |
| 17 | | knowledge, information and belief? |
| 18 | A | Yes. |
| 19 | | MR. WELCH: No further questions of |
| 20 | | this witness, Mr. Mandt. |
| 21 | | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 22 | | MR. WELCH: EIOW calls David B. |
| 23 | | May, vice president Employers Insurance of Wausau, |
| 24 | | and assistant vice president and director of |
| 25 | | mergers and acquisitions of the Liberty Mutual |

| 1 | | Group. |
|----|---|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | DAVID B. MAY, |
| 3 | | called as a witness, being first duly |
| 4 | | sworn in the above cause, testified |
| 5 | | under oath as follows: |
| 6 | | EXAMINATION |
| 7 | | BY_MRWELCH: |
| 8 | Q | Mr. May, you've prefiled certain testimony with the OCI? |
| 9 | A | That is correct, sir. |
| 10 | Q | Is that testimony true and correct to the best of your |
| 11 | | knowledge, information and belief? |
| 12 | A | It is. |
| 13 | | MR. WELCH: Mr. Mandt, no further |
| 14 | | questions of this witness, and I believe that |
| 15 | | concludes the testimony and presentation of all |
| 16 | | our witnesses. |
| 17 | | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. As I |
| 18 | | stated before, the record of hearing will be held |
| 19 | | open and permit consideration of the testimony |
| 20 | | received, both oral and written, during the public |
| 21 | | hearing and written comments concerning the mutual |
| 22 | | holding company plan that are received by the |
| 23 | | Office of the Commissioner of Insurance prior to |
| 24 | | the close of business on Friday, October 26, 2001. |
| 25 | | This class one contested case hearing is |

| 1 | hereby closed at 1:45 p.m on Tuesday, October 16, |
|----|----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | 2001. Thank you. |
| 3 | I will adjourn for about 10 minutes. |
| 4 | MR. WELCH: Point of clarification, |
| 5 | if I could, Mr. Mandt. I first noted that you were |
| 6 | closing the hearing as of October 22. |
| 7 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right. I |
| 8 | changed that to the 26th because I read it wrong. |
| 9 | MR. WELCH: All right. |
| 10 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: So we'll |
| 11 | adjourn for 10 minutes and the public hearing will |
| 12 | convene at five minutes to 2. Thank you. |
| 13 | (1:45 p.m.) |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | I, LINDA KUHLMAN, hereby certify that as the |
| 5 | |
| 6 | duly-appointed shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand |
| 7 | |
| 8 | the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter on the |
| 9 | |
| 10 | 16th day of October, 2001, commencing at 1:00 p.m., and |
| 11 | |
| 12 | that the attached is a true and correct transcription of |
| 13 | |
| 14 | the proceedings so taken. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Dated at Madison, Wisconsin this 25th day |
| 17 | |
| 18 | of October, 2001. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | Notary Public, State of Wisconsin |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |